
NATURAL JUSTICE

ENVIRONMENTAL LAWYERS COLLECTIVE FOR AFRICA



SLAPP *suits* in African Jurisdictions

A toolkit for Activists, Lawyers and Campaigners in



Zimbabwe


STAY
ALIVE

CONTENTS

FOREWORD 3

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 4

DISCLAIMER 5

1. INTRODUCTION TO SLAPP SUITS AND OTHER FORMS OF JUDICIAL HARASSMENT 6
1.1 Democracy's role in environmental governance 7
1.2 The Rise of the SLAPP suit 7
1.3 Judicial Harassment Beyond SLAPP Suits 9

2. ZIMBABWE CASE STUDY 10
INTRODUCTION 11
2.1 Zimbabwean Laws Supporting Activism 11
2.2 Zimbabwean Laws that Censure Activism 12
2.3 Examples of SLAPP suits and Judicial Harassment in Zimbabwe 13
2.4 Conclusion 15

3. OPPOSING SLAPP SUITS IN AFRICA – WHAT CAN BE DONE? 16
3.1 International Anti-SLAPP Laws 17
3.2 African Anti-SLAPP Laws 17
3.3 The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 18
3.4 African Legal Instruments 19
3.5 Model Anti-SLAPP Law for Africa 22
3.6 Recommendations 23

ANNEXURE 1: SUPPORT NETWORKS 25

ANNEXURE 2: TRAINING RESOURCES 26

ANNEXURE 3: ONLINE RISK MANAGEMENT 27

FOREWORD



Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPP suits) and other forms of judicial harassment designed to limit popular participation in democratic processes are on the rise, both globally and on the African continent. This toolkit assesses the strength of relevant legislative provisions related to SLAPP suits and judicial harassment in Zimbabwe, focussing on specific SLAPP cases that have informed Zimbabwe's jurisprudence in this novel area.

The toolkit provides key recommendations on how to confront SLAPP suits and provides information on organisations that specialise in representing victims of SLAPP suits, as well as key information on workshops, webinars, training manuals and other similar resources where SLAPP suits are considered.

The findings call for the development and adoption of specific anti-SLAPP legislation in Zimbabwe as well as the development of a uniform and binding anti-SLAPP directive/resolution from the African Union (AU).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The toolkit was developed as a response to the increase in the use of **SLAPPs against lawyers, activists, journalists, and academics in Africa**. The toolkit and related work on SLAPP suits constitute a partnership between **SurgeAfrica** and **Natural Justice** and is intended to **empower campaigners** with the knowledge and tools they need to defend their rights and confidently participate in matters of public interest. As such, the toolkit aims to **strengthen the broader movement for environmental justice across Africa**. We wish to thank the following organisations who were integral to the drafting of this document:

ENVIRONMENTAL LAWYERS COLLECTIVE FOR AFRICA

SURGE AFRICA .org

NATURAL JUSTICE



DISCLAIMER

The toolkit (including any of its attachments) has been drafted for the sole use and benefit of promoting access to information on SLAPP suits and is intended for educational purposes only. The use of any information outlined in the toolkit is upon the reader's own risk. The reader assumes full responsibility and risk of any loss resulting from the use of the content contained therein.

The Environmental Lawyers Collective for Africa (ELCA), Natural Justice or Surge Africa, will not be liable for any special, indirect, incidental, consequential, or punitive damages or any other damages whatsoever, whether in an action of contract, statute, tort (including, without limitation, negligence), or otherwise, relating to the use of the toolkit. It does not constitute legal advice, nor does it substitute for legal advice. The opinions expressed in the toolkits are solely the opinions of ELCA and are not intended as a legal opinion. Should you or anyone you know require legal advice, kindly seek the assistance of an independent, reputable, trained and licensed lawyer in your relevant jurisdiction.



INTRODUCTION TO SLAPP SUITS
AND OTHER FORMS OF JUDICIAL HARASSMENT



1.1 Democracy's role in environmental governance

Democracy has been defined as 'a system which works towards the full inclusion and participation of citizens in critical decisions and policies of the state.'[1] Democracy should allow for both supportive and critical voices to be heard and should safeguard the rights of those who speak out against injustices, including environmental ones.

However, while laws and adjudicative processes are established to realise democracy and ensure justice, fairness and equality amongst all persons, there are instances where laws and judicial processes are used to subvert those very same principles which they are meant to realise and protect. This can be through unjust laws, haphazard enforcement of legal rules, or policies that favour the powerful. The harsh reality is that there are many instances where laws, lawmakers, and the judiciary, are 'weaponised' (often referred to as 'lawfare') to entrench the interests of political elites and large commercial entities at the cost of popular participation in democratic processes.

1.2 The Rise of the SLAPP suit

One way in which the law is being increasingly 'weaponised' in Africa is via Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPP suits). SLAPP suits are expensive legal confrontations (usually in the form of defamation cases or interdicts) instigated by powerful parties, such as state actors or companies, against lawyers, campaigners, journalists, and academics who, either during their vocation or activism, threaten to expose potential injustices caused by powerful parties. They are pursued with the aim of intimidating and silencing critiques and represent an abuse of the intention of legal processes. In recent years, they have become one of the most significant risks facing activists and lawyers engaged in climate and human rights work in Africa.[2]

An authoritative legal definition of a SLAPP suit came from the Supreme Court of Canada in 2020 which described them as:

> ***"Lawsuits initiated against individuals or organisations that speak out or take a position on an issue of public interest … not as a direct tool to vindicate a bona fide claim, but as an indirect tool to limit the expression of others … and deter that party, or other potential interested parties, from participating in public affairs."***[3]

[1] Makaye, P. & Dube, B., 2014, 'Zimbabwe: the challenge of Democracy from below, 1980 to 2013', International Journal of Political Science and Development, 2(10), p. 229.

[2] Puentes Riano, A., Chowdhury, J., Masiye-Moyo, N. & Sansico, L., 2023, 'Evaluating impacts of strategic corporate accountability and human rights litigation', Consultoria en Justicia Climatica & Natural Justice, June, https://media.business-humanrights.org/media/documents/Evaluating_Impacts_of_Strategic_Corporate_Accountability_and_Human_Rights_Litigation.pdf Accessed 16 May 2026.

[3] Supreme Court of Canada, 2020, 1704604 Ontario Ltd v Pointes Protection Association 2020 SCC 22 449 DLR (4th) 1 (Pointes) para 2. https://decisions.scc-csc.ca/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/18458/index.do. Accessed 4 June 2026.

In general, SLAPP suits tend to have several common characteristics:

1. The lawsuit is filed against individuals or organisations speaking on matters of public interest and importance.
2. The lawsuit is filed disguised as a legitimate claim – often exaggerated or baseless - but with the intention to intimidate individuals and/or organisations.
3. The lawsuit is lengthy, drawn out and expensive and has the result of laying emotional, financial and labour burdens on the defendant.
4. The lawsuit is filed by parties that have significant financial and/or political power (e.g. a large corporation or the state) against opponents who have little to none (a community activist).
5. Litigants in SLAPP suits do not necessarily expect to win the case. The aim is rather to intimidate, discourage or exhaust critical speech or the actions of activists and to ultimately shut down any form of opposition.
6. SLAPP suits do not only exist as civil lawsuits and can also be used as criminal claims.[4]

The mere possibility of a SLAPP suit can have a 'chilling effect' on the ongoing activities of individuals and organisations. When faced with potentially significant legal fees opposing a SLAPP, let alone the emotional, reputational and labour burdens of doing so, individuals and organisations can engage in self-censorship. This may include withdrawing or failing to implement legal cases, taking an overly cautious approach to campaigning, or deciding not to campaign at all. This has the effect of supressing vital public discourse, which is precisely the aim of SLAPP suits and those entities which pursue them.[5]

An Example of a Typical SLAPP Suit



In 2021, a large South African property developer claimed damages of R197 million from a small nature organisation and its chairperson for alleged costs associated with building delays caused by their legitimate opposition to its development. The nature organisation and its chairperson had legally appealed a decision to allow the development to take place near important wetlands outside Johannesburg. While the developer typically did not pursue the case, its legal actions were clearly designed to intimidate and silence anyone opposed to the development.[6]

Given the 'chilling effect' that SLAPP suits can have on public participation in decision-making, jurisprudence to counter them is developing in various parts of the world. However, such jurisprudence needs to be cognizant of the fact that most rights can be limited by law. Few constitutions provide for rights that cannot be suspended, limited or restricted, including the rights to freedom of expression and/or assembly/association.

This means that during SLAPP suits, the party bringing them may claim that rights such as expression, assembly and association can be limited, particularly when balanced with other rights - such as a party's reputation and rights over property.

[4] For an example of a journalist facing criminal charges via a SLAPP suit see, Hawker, D., 2023, 'Slapp suit: Media freedom vindicated in Zuma private prosecution verdict', Daily Maverick. 7 June. https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2023-06-07-slapp-suit-media-freedom-vindicated-in-zuma-private-prosecution-verdict/. Accessed 19 May 2026.

[5] Preston, J., 2014, 'Participation from the deep freeze: "Chilling" by SLAPP suits', Environmental and Planning Law Journal, 31(1).

[6] Yeld, J., 2025, 'Massive SLAPP suit against environmentalists withdrawn - Gauteng property developers were suing environmentalists for alleged costs from delays to their projects caused by objections', GroundUp, 24 Jan., https://groundup.org.za/article/massive-slapp-suit-against-environmentalists-withdrawn/. Accessed 19 May 2026.

The principles of legality, legitimacy and proportionality are, therefore, permissible limitations that are often abused by SLAPP suits under the guise of upholding the law or maintaining order. Thus, SLAPP lawsuits, to the extent that they criminalise expression or public participation in protests, are disproportionate and represent a perversion of the limitations of these freedoms undermining laws and public policies that rely on public participation.

Much depends on a country's specific legal environment. Some legal environments can be fertile ground for SLAPP suits, depending on how high legal costs are, the elasticity of laws targeting free speech (especially defamation), and rights over protest and association.[7]

1.3 Judicial Harassment Beyond SLAPP Suits

SLAPP suits are far from the only mechanism used to curtail or silence the public's right to freedom of expression, assembly and protest. In many African countries state sanctioned police surveillance and violence, and arbitrary arrest and detention, are used to close civic spaces and curtail public discourse. In most instances the use of such instruments are further examples of the law being 'weaponised' against public protest. Lastly, activists – especially environmental defenders - have been silenced by being murdered for their public advocacy.

Some legal scholars have argued, therefore, that the term SLAPP suit should be expanded 'to embrace within its ambit any legal proceedings which are brought to enervate critics, in order to accommodate the versatility of the threat faced by critics in different jurisdictions.'[8] This wider definition of SLAPP suits to include other forms of judicial harassment is adopted in this report.

In short, SLAPP suits and other forms of judicial harassment have three essential aims:

To Change the Focus of Dispute	SLAPP suits and other forms of judicial harassment deliberately shift focus away from the issue of wider public interest to a much narrower form of dispute.
To Change the Forum of Debate	SLAPP suits and judicial harassment oftentimes take debate outside of the public realm and into more elitist and inaccessible spaces, such as courtrooms.
To Change the Role of the Rights Defender	SLAPP suits and judicial harassment recast the role of rights defenders from being active participants in civil society to being defendants under threat.[9]

The following section explores key SLAPP cases that have informed Zimbabwe's jurisprudence in this novel area.

[7] Bord-Barthet, J. Lobina, B. & Zabrocka, M. 2021. The Use of SLAPPs to Silence Journalists, NGOs and Civil Society. Policy Department for Citizens' Rights and Constitutional Affairs Directorate-General for Internal Policies. European Union.

[8] Emmamally, Z., 2022, 'Slapping Down SLAPP Suits in South Africa: The Need for Legislative Protection and Civil Society Action', South African Law Journal, 139(1), p. 3. The Coalition Against SLAPPS in Europe defines them as 'abusive lawsuits filed to shut down acts of public participation, including public interest journalism, peaceful protest or boycotts, advocacy, whistleblowing, academic comments, or simply speaking out against the abuse of power. SLAPPs target anyone who works to hold the powerful to account or engage in matters of public interest: so-called 'public watchdogs.' This broad category includes journalists, activists, rights defenders, whistleblowers, campaigning organisations, unions or trade associations, and academics.' See, 'SLAPPs: Increasingly Threatening Democracy in Europe', 2023, The Coalition Against SLAPPS in Europe, Aug., p. 7.

[9] Chamberlain, L., 2021, 'SLAPPing back: A new legal remedy for targets of corporate bullying', South African Journal of Human Rights, 37(3), pp. 411 - 412.

ZIMBABWE CASE STUDY



2. Introduction

Democratic representation and participation have been at the centre of political and economic contestations in Zimbabwe. Under British colonial rule, and the regime of Ian Smith, legislation and the courts were used to repress the rights to freedom of speech and association, denying the full participation of Zimbabwe's black majority population in matters of public interest. Upon independence from colonial rule in 1980, new laws were passed to enable universal suffrage and the equal treatment of all peoples. Despite this, undemocratic laws, institutions and practises endure 45 years later.

2.1 Zimbabwean Laws Supporting Activism

The categorisation of legal instruments in this toolkit as laws that "support" or "censure" activism is intended as an analytical starting point rather than an absolute classification. Legal instruments may have both enabling and restrictive dimensions, and their effects on activism may vary depending on their substantive provisions, interpretation, implementation and the context in which they are invoked. Accordingly, the categorisation reflects the predominant or most significant effect of each instrument identified in the case studies, while recognising that the same legal framework may create opportunities for activism in some respects while constraining it in others.

The Constitution, 2013

Zimbabwe has extensive provisions in its Constitution which guarantee the right of freedom of expression and association and the sanctity of the rule of law.[10] These freedoms are specifically espoused under Chapter 4 as follows:

***Section 58** states that 'every person has the right to freedom of assembly and association.'*

***Section 59** affords all inhabitants of the country the right to demonstrate and to present petitions, provided that such demonstrations are peaceful.*

***Section 60** details the importance of freedom of conscience, acknowledging that, '[E]very person has the right to freedom of conscience, which includes [inter alia] freedom to practise and propagate and give expression to their thought, opinion, religion or belief, whether in public or in private and whether alone or together with others.'*

***Section 61** guarantees freedom of expression including, 'the freedom to seek, receive and communicate ideas and other information; academic freedom; freedom of artistic expression and scientific research and creativity.'*

***Section 62** further holds that, '[E]very person, including the Zimbabwean media, has the right of access to any information held by any person, including the State, in so far as the information is required for the exercise or protection of a right.'*

[10] Constitution of Zimbabwe as amended (No. 20) Act, 2013.

The Constitution also contains rule of law doctrines, such as judicial autonomy, fair trial assurances, and the institution of a proficient and impartial police force.[11] The Constitution requires that the judiciary performs its functions in a manner that sees justice being applied equally to everyone, regardless of rank and that it protects human rights, liberties and the principle of the rule of law.[12]

In addition to these guarantees in the Constitution, Zimbabwe is a signatory of the African Charter of Human and Peoples Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

Freedom of Information Act, 2020

This Act seeks to give effect to the right to information, as guaranteed by the Constitution, by facilitating easy access to information. By doing so it seeks to promote transparency, accountability, and effective governance. However, experience shows that there are problems with implementation. ***Firstly, activists argue that it is not clear who or which entity in government is tasked with regulating access to information and, secondly, and more importantly, activists note that access to information is subject to significant political interference.***[13]

Whistle Blowers and Witness Protection Bill, 2026

While not yet law, this Bill seeks to protect whistle blowers who disclose information in the public interest. While this Bill is seen as a potentially major step forward, ***concerns have been raised about whether sufficient resources will be made available by the state to properly implement protections or whether those contemplating disclosure will trust law enforcement institutions to protect them.***[14]

2.2 Zimbabwean Laws that Censure Activism

Since independence, a series of repressive laws have been passed, which include: the Presidential Powers Act, 1986; the Broadcasting Services Act (as amended 2016); the Maintenance of Peace and Order Act, 2019; the Cyber and Data Protection Act, 2021; the Criminal Law (Codification and Reform) Amendment Act, 2023 (Patriot Act); the Electoral Act, 2023; and, the Private Voluntary Organisations Amendment Act, 2025.

Maintenance of Peace and Order Act, 2019

Evidence indicates that this Act is regularly used to ***'suppress peaceful protests and public gatherings.'*** [15] Research undertaken in 2023, shows how the police regularly use the Act to deny political opponents of the government the right to hold meetings, thus denying them their Constitutional right to assembly.[16]

[11] 'Our Hands Are Tied: Erosion of the Rule of Law in Zimbabwe', 2008, Human Rights Watch, 8 Nov., https://www.refworld.org/docid/4917f56a2.html. Accessed 11 July 2021.

[12] Constitution of Zimbabwe as amended - Sections165 (1) (a) & 165(1) (c) Amendment (No. 20) Act, 2013.

[13] Mojapelo, M., 2023, 'Let the people know, and the country will be safe: FOI models in South Africa and Zimbabwe', Records Management Journal, 34(1).

[14] Nyambara, T., 2026, 'Transparency International Zimbabwe Leads Dialogue on New Whistleblower Protection Bill', MatabelelandPulse, 1 May, https://matebelelandpulse.co.zw/2026/05/01/zimbabwe-whistleblower-protection-law-implementation-debate/. Accessed 25 June 2026.

[15] 'Zimbabwe: Concerns Raised over Civic Space Restrictions and Political Participation', 2025, Centre for Civil and Political Rights, 11 March, https://ccprcentre.org/ccprpages/zimbabwe-concerns-raised-over-civic-space-restrictions-and-political-participation. Accessed 25 June 2026.

[16] '"Crush Them Like Lice" Repression of Civil and Political Rights Ahead of Zimbabwe's August 2023 Election', 2023, Human Rights Watch, p. 20.

Cyber and Data Protection Act, 2021

This law has several worrying features. Firstly, its intention to ***criminalise the 'transmission of data messages that incite violence or damage to property' and the 'transmission of false data messages intending to cause harm***' introduces vague definitions which are open to wide interpretation. The Act also considerably strengthens the state's surveillance infrastructure by establishing a Cybersecurity and Monitoring Centre housed in the Office of the President.[17]

As critics note, ***'ordinary citizens in Zimbabwe cannot campaign, or demonstrate and petition online, in line with their constitutional rights, without running the risk of being charged with inciting violence.'***[18]

Criminal Law (Codification and Reform) Amendment Act, 2023 (Patriot Act)

This law has been criticised for introducing ***'vague provisions, which allow the government to criminalise peaceful protests, dissent and the criticism of the state.'***[19] While in June 2025 a section of the Act was struck down by the High Court in Zimbabwe for being unconstitutional, this draconian ***'tool for repression***' largely remains in force in Zimbabwe.[20]

Private Voluntary Organisations Amendment Act, 2025

Described by the International Federation for Human Rights as an ***'unprecedented attack on civic space in Zimbabwe***', this Act imposes onerous registration requirements on NGOs, while also granting the executive arm of government wide discretionary powers over NGOs. It also potentially ***criminalises human-rights work in Zimbabwe***, while severely restricting NGO access to funding opportunities.[21]

2.3 Examples of SLAPP suits and Judicial Harassment in Zimbabwe

In Zimbabwe, SLAPP suits and judicial harassment have largely taken the form of state-sanctioned actions against activists and political opponents. In particular, they have involved national prosecutors imposing criminal charges against activists and political opponents.

Dzamara & Ors v Police Commissioner General (N.O.) & Ors HC 6397/16

In July 2016, the High Court of Zimbabwe heard a case where the applicants sought an urgent interdict against the police to prevent unlawful interference with their constitutional rights. The applicants ***argued that their freedoms of assembly and expression were being infringed upon by the police***, who had imposed restrictions on public demonstrations and gatherings. The urgent interdict was denied.[22]

[17] 'Safeguarder or Silencer? Zimbabwe's Data Law on Trial', 2025, NGO Africa Watch, 21 April, https://ngoafricawatch.net/2025/04/21/safeguarder-or-silencer-zimbabwes-data-law-on-trial/. Accessed 25 June 2026.

[18] 'Analysis of the Data Protection Act', 2021, MISA Zimbabwe, 6 Dec, https://zimbabwe.misa.org/2021/12/06/analysis-of-the-data-protection-act/#:~:text=Critical%20examples%20of%20the%20enforcement,of%20basic%20commodities%20in%20Zimbabwe. Accessed 26 June 2026.

[19] Chawaremera, M. & Kugara, S., 2025, 'A Critical Lens into Lawfare as a Tool to Undermine Democracy and Civil Liberties', African Journal of Law and Justice System, 4(3), p. 12.

[20] Sithole, T., 2023, 'Zimbabwe's 'Patriotic Act' erodes freedoms and may be a tool for repression', The Conversation, 30 July, https://theconversation.com/zimbabwes-patriotic-act-erodes-freedoms-and-may-be-a-tool-for-repression-209984. Accessed 25 June 2026.

[21] 'Zimbabwe: Detrimental Private Voluntary Organisations Amendment Bill enacted', 2025, International Federation for Human Rights, 13 June. https://www.fidh.org/en/region/Africa/zimbabwe/zimbabwe-detrimental-private-voluntary-organisations-amendment-bill#. Accessed 25 June 2026.

[22] Dzamara & Ors v Police Commissioner General (N.O.) & Ors (HC 6397 of 2016; HH 398 of 2016) [2016] ZWHHC 398 (4 July 2016), 2016, https://zimlii.org/akn/zw/judgment/zwhhc/2016/398/eng@2016-07-04. Accessed 25 June 2026.

Integrated Energy BV SLAPP, 2019.

In 2019, it was reported that a law firm in Harare sent a letter to the Zimbabwe Independent newspaper calling on it to retract a news item about the alleged involvement of Integrated Energy BV in a waste incinerator tender scandal. ***The letter is reported to have threatened the newspaper with a $500 million lawsuit if the news item was not retracted.*** Although no proceedings ultimately followed, the threat was reported by an independent media outlet as amounting to a SLAPP.[23]

The Hopewell Chin'ono cases

In July 2020, Hopewell Chin'ono, a Zimbabwean journalist and human rights activist, was ***arrested for supporting (via his Twitter Account) an anti-corruption protest planned for 31 July 2020***. He was charged with inciting public violence and breaching anti-corona virus health regulations.[24]

He appeared in court three times to apply for bail pending the hearing of his case but was denied bail on the basis of the alleged seriousness of the charges he was facing, and the belief that he presented a flight risk. ***His bail application, with restrictions, was only granted by the High Court in September 2020, after Chin'ono having spent 44 days in custody.[25] Chin'ono still faces charges relating to this case.***

S v Chinono (294 of 2023) 2023 ZWHHC 228 (12 May 2023)

In October 2020, Chin'ono was arrested again and charged with, ***'defeating or obstructing the course of justice' under Section 184(1)(c) of the Criminal Law [Codification and Reform] Act.[26]*** The charge stemmed from a tweet in which Chin'ono reported that Henrietta Rushwaya, who had been arrested at Robert Gabriel Mugabe International Airport for smuggling six kilograms of gold, was likely to be granted bail without opposition from the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA). His tweet criticised the justice system, stating that:

> ***Henrietta Rushwaya who is reported to be close to the National Prosecution Authority boss is being brought to court tomorrow after being arrested with 6kgs of gold. My NPA sources tell me that the NPA's position is that bail is not opposed! The real criminals get bail always.[27]***

Prosecutors argued that this statement interfered with judicial proceedings, while Chin'ono's defence maintained that his tweet merely conveyed information and was of immense public interest, as guaranteed under Sections 60, 61, and 62 of the Zimbabwean Constitution.[28] He was initially refused bail and spent another 19 days in custody. The High Court ultimately acquitted Chin'ono, ruling that his arrest was unjustified and that no offence had been committed. Chin'ono's case became emblematic of the criminalization of free speech in Zimbabwe, raising concerns about press freedom and judicial independence.[29]

---

[23] '$500 Million SLAPP Filed Against Zimbabwe Media Over Klodian Zoto Incinerator Claims', 2019, theExit, 21 Oct., https://exit.al/en/500-million-slapp-filed-against-zimbabwe-media-over-klodian-zoto-incinerator-claims. Accessed 25 June 2026.

[24] Burke, J. 2020. 'Journalism has been criminalised': Zimbabwean reporter denied bail. The Guardian. 24 Jul. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/jul/24/zimbabwean-journalist-calls-for-fight-againstcorruption-to-continue.
& S v Chin'ono (22 of 2021) [2021] ZWHHC 22 (27 January 2021). Accessed 25 June 2026.

[25] 'Journalist Hopewell Chin'ono released on bail, with restrictions, in Zimbabwe', 2020, Committee to Protect Journalists, 2 Sept., https://cpj.org/2020/09/journalist-hopewell-chinono-released-on-bail-with-restrictions-in-zimbabwe/. Accessed 25 June 2026.

[26] Zimbabwe Legal Information Institute. 2023. S v Chin'ono (294 of 2023) [2023] ZWHHC 228 (12 May 2023). https://zimlii.org/akn/zw/judgment/zwhhc/2023/228/eng@2023-05-12. Accessed 11 June 2026.

[27] See post by Hopewell Chinono (8:48 pm · 26 Oct 2020) Twitter handle @daddyhope. https://x.com/daddyhope/status/1320799486373961729. Accessed 11 June 2026.

[28] Zimbabwe Legal Information Institute. 2023. S v Chin'ono (294 of 2023) [2023] ZWHHC 228 (12 May 2023). https://zimlii.org/akn/zw/judgment/zwhhc/2023/228/eng@2023-05-12. Accessed 11 June 2026.

[29] Mavhunga, C. 2021. Zimbabwe Police Arrest Journalist for Third Time in 6 Months. 9 Jan. https://www.voanews.com/a/press-freedom_zimbabwe-police-arrest-journalist-third-time-6months/6200541.html. Accessed 11 June 2026.

S v Chin'ono (22 of 2021) [2021] ZWHHC 22 (27 January 2021)

In January 2021, Hopewell Chin'ono was arrested yet again, in retaliation for a social media post in which he had accused the police of violence against a mother and child. ***He was charged with publishing or communicating false statements prejudicial to the police. He was again denied bail and spent 20 days in prison before the High Court granted bail on appeal.***[30] The case was quashed by the High Court as Chin'ono's charge related to a law that no-longer exists.[31]

Arrest of Blessed Mhlanga

In February 2025, senior journalist, Blessed Mhlanga was arrested for allegedly transmitting information that incites violence or causes damage to property under the Criminal Law (Codification and Reform) Act. ***Mhalnga spent 72 days in custody despite repeated appeals for bail to be granted***. Mhalnga trial started in July 2025 and is currently ongoing. An arrest warrant has been issued for Mhlanga for allegedly violating his bail conditions.[32]

Research exploring how bail is applied to human rights defenders in Zimbabwe observes ***'a pattern signifying arbitrary denial of bail for HRDs accused of various crimes, suggesting the weaponisation of the courts of law to punish human rights defenders.'*** The same research argues that ***'political influence at the magistrate courts is not subtle or invisible but rather it is endemic, systematic and a pervasive practice.'***[33]

2.4 Conclusion

The Chin'ono and Mhlanga cases, highlight how fundamental rights can be severely suppressed when legislation masks itself as legitimate regulation, when in fact, it is employed to silence critics of the government. It is clear that within the current political climate that the state's considerable powers and resources are being regularly directed towards persecuting activists via both judicial and extra-legal processes. This has the inevitable effect of intimidating citizens, discouraging them from participating in matters of public interest.

As observers note, the government in Zimbabwe has:

> ***"continued to weaponize the criminal justice system against perceived critics and the political opposition. Impunity for the ruling party ZANU-PF violence, intimidation, harassment, and repression against opposition members and civil society activists restricted civic and political space."***[34]

It is against this repressive background that urgent reforms need to take place in Zimbabwe to restore the rule of law.

[30] 'Zimbabwe: The Persecution and Prosecutions of Hopewell Chin'ono', 2021, American Bar Association, Oct. 20, https://www.americanbar.org/groups/human_rights/reports/zimbabwe-the-persecution-and-prosecutions-of-hopewell-chinono/. Accessed 26 June 2026.

[31] Mahomed, F., 2021, 'Zimbabwean journalist Hopewell Chin'ono wins court battle, but not yet the war', Daily Maverick, 2 May, https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2021-05-02-zimbabwean-journalist-hopewell-chinono-wins-court-battle-but-not-yet-the-war/. Accessed 26 June 2026.

[32] 'Zimbabwe: Further information: Journalist released but charges still pending: Blessed Mhlanga', 2025, Amnesty International, 16 May, https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/afr46/9385/2025/en/. Accessed 25 June 2026.

[33] Chimedza, B., 2023, 'Political renditions, judicial constraints, and the exorcism of bailable rights in Zimbabwe', The Journal of Democracy, Governance and Human Rights in Zimbabwe, 2(2), Dec. pp. 35 - 36.

[34] Hassan, T., 2025, 'World Report 2025: Zimbabwe', Human Rights Watch, https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2025/country-chapters/zimbabwe. Accessed 29 June 2026.

OPPOSING SLAPP SUITS IN AFRICA – WHAT CAN BE DONE?



3.1 International Anti-SLAPP Laws

To counter legal frameworks which facilitate SLAPP suits, legal safeguards such as anti-SLAPP statutes need to be in place. Anti-SLAPP jurisprudence is most advanced in the United States, where the first anti-SLAPP law was passed in 1992. To date, 38 American states have passed anti-SLAPP laws.[35]

The European Union passed an anti-SLAPP law in 2024.

> ***In March 2024, the European Union adopted a Directive to end 'abusive court proceedings against public participation….which are not brought to genuinely assert or exercise a right, but have as their main purpose the prevention, restriction or penalisation of public participation, frequently exploiting an imbalance of power between the parties.'[36] Importantly, the Directive allows for the early dismissal of cases and for costs and penalties to be imposed on 'a claimant who has brought abusive court proceedings against public participation.'[37] This directive is the first multinational and transnational anti-SLAPP law.***

3.2 African Anti-SLAPP Laws

While there are no specific anti-SLAPP laws in Africa, African states are signatories to various international legal instruments that may be applicable in the defence of an activist, lawyer, journalist or academic facing a SLAPP suit or another form of judicial harassment.



[35] Keating, D., Knowles-Gardner, H. & Greenberg, D. 2025. Anti-SLAPP Statutes: 2025 Report Card. Institute for Free Speech. 25 Aug. https://www.ifs.org/anti-slapp-report/. Accessed 3 June 2026.

[36] European Union. 2024. Directive (EU) 2024/1069. Article 4.3. Also see, van Houtert, B., 2025, 'The Anti-SLAPP Directive as a Roadmap for SLAPP Targets and the Obstacles Along the Way', Maastricht University, 25 Feb., https://www.maastrichtuniversity.nl/blog/2025/02/anti-slapp-directive-roadmap-slapp-targets-and-obstacles-along-way. Accessed 24 June 2026.

[37] European Union. 2024. Directive (EU) 2024/1069. Articles 11, 14 & 15.

3.3 The Universal Declaration of Human Rights

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights provides, in Articles 19, 20 and 21, that everyone has the right to freedom of expression, freedom of peaceful assembly and association.[38] These rights are important participatory tools which are entrenched in international and regional legal frameworks, including the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), and the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (Banjul Charter).[39]

Three specific rights are important for those facing SLAPP suits:

01

Right to freedom of association

Article 22 of the ICCPR and Article 10 of the African Charter entrench the right to freedom of association at international and regional scales. This right ensures that every individual is free to organise and to form and participate in groups, which enables effective participation in civil and political society.





02

Right to peaceful assembly

Article 21 of the ICCPR and Article 11 of the African Charter recognise and entrench the right to peaceful assembly with other like-minded persons, highlighting the importance of protest activities. This right particularly affords individuals the opportunity to express their opinions on matters of collective and public interest in a united manner. It is the conduit from which the pillars of advocacy are built, thereby ensuring that citizens can mould their respective societies in alignment with their morals, values and ideals.

03

Freedom of expression

Freedom of expression is an integral part of public participation provided for in Article 19 of the ICCPR and Article 9 of the African Charter. Freedom of expression includes the right to, seek, receive and express ideas and opinions within the law. Article 19 of the ICCPR goes further to emphasise that this freedom should be enjoyed by all without frontiers.



The 2019 Declaration of Principles of Freedom of Expression and Access to Information in Africa also affirms the rights to freedom of expression and access to information.

[38] Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1948. United Nations. https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights. Accessed 3 June 2026.

[39] International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. 1966. United Nations. https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-civil-and-political-rights & African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights. 1981. African Union. https://au.int/en/treaties/african-charter-human-and-peoples-rights Accessed 3 June 2026.

3.4 African Legal Instruments

While African regional legal instruments specifically addressing SLAPP suits remain underdeveloped, protections can be inferred from broader human rights frameworks and judicial precedents. The African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights (also known as the Banjul Charter) has passed a number of relevant resolutions:

> ***Resolution 119 (2007)*** *urges member states 'to take all the necessary measures to ensure to all human rights defenders an environment conducive to carrying out their activities without fear of any acts of violence, threats, reprisals, discrimination, pressure and any arbitrary acts by State or non-State actors as a result of their human rights activities.'*[40]

> ***Resolution 196 (2011)*** *notes that the Commission is 'deeply concerned about the difficult environment in which those who cooperate with African human rights system including human rights defenders in Africa are working in Africa which is characterized in several countries by the persistence of arbitrary arrests and detentions, acts of harassment including judicial harassment, threats and other forms of intimidation, of summary and extra-judicial executions and even acts of torture as a result of their activities.' It calls on states to 'to recognize the role of human rights defenders in the promotion and protection of rights and freedoms as recognised by the African Charter and other regional and international instruments.....to release the human rights defenders who are arbitrarily detained and to put an end to the judicial harassment and other acts of intimidation against human rights defenders.'*[41]

> ***Resolution 376 (2017)*** *essentially repeats the text of Resolution 196 noting once again that the Commission is 'deeply concerned about the situation of human rights defenders in Africa.'*

In September 2025, the Commission noted its concern with the 'growing use of strategic lawsuits against public participation.....which often have been used to curtail the work of civil society, human rights defenders and independent journalists, to drain their resources, stigmatize and criminalize them.' The Commission called on member states to 'enact anti-SLAPP legislation, authorizing early dismissal of such lawsuits and protecting civil society actors against legal harassment.'[42] Article 66 of the AU's African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights allows for supplementary protocols, thus creating a pathway for future instruments to be added which explicitly address SLAPP suits.

[40] African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 2007, Resolution on the Situation of Human Rights Defenders in Africa - ACHPR/Res.119(XXXXII)07, https://achpr.au.int/en/adopted-resolutions/119-resolution-situation-human-rights-defenders-africa-achprres119.

[41] African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 2011, Resolution on Human Rights Defenders in Africa - ACHPR/Res.196(L)2011, https://achpr.au.int/en/adopted-resolutions/196-resolution-human-rights-defenders-africa-achprres196l2011

[42] African Union. 2025. African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights. Joint Declaration on Protecting the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association from criminalization amid intensified existential threats. 17 Sept. https://achpr.au.int/en/news/press-releases/2025-10-29/joint-declaration-protecting-rights-freedom-peaceful-assembly. Accessed 19 May 2026.

Particularly relevant to environmental defenders and SLAPP suits was resolution 657 from March 2026, which reaffirmed 'the inseparable link between access to information, environmental rights, and the broader framework of human rights protections enshrined in the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights.' It directly addresses SLAPP suits calling on member states to:

> ***Take appropriate, legislative, administrative and judicial measures to deter and address vexatious, harassing or abusive resort to litigation that unduly restrict and chill public participation in environmental matters, including, inter alia providing targeted procedural protections such as expedited handling of cases, facilitating timely access to legal aid and other appropriate assistance to victims.***[43]

In June 2026, the Commission passed resolution 663 which speaks directly to ongoing challenges, particularly as they relate to judicial processes. It notes that the Commission is:

> ***Concerned by the shrinking of civic space, notably through the use of surveillance, both in the digital domain and in other spheres, as well as the trend towards democratic repression, including transnational repression, factors which undermine the enabling environment for the work of human and peoples' rights defenders in Africa, particularly in the run-up to presidential elections;***
>
> ***Also concerned by the resurgence of cases of abuse of judicial process imposed on human and peoples' rights defenders, characterised by the proliferation of proceedings brought against them or the organisations which employ them, including by non-State actors, as well as by the imposition of excessively high bail amounts, which are likely to unduly restrict the exercise of their activities;***
>
> ***Concerned further by the persistence of violations targeting human and peoples' rights defenders, in particular arbitrary arrests and detentions, acts of torture, enforced disappearance and murder, as well as the excessive and prolonged use of pre-trial detention, including in conditions characterised by restrictions on their rights of access to legal representation, appropriate healthcare and family visits;***
>
> ***Concerned, furthermore, by the violations of the freedoms of association and peaceful assembly of human and peoples' rights defenders, in particular through the banning of peaceful demonstrations, arrests during such gatherings, and the suspension or dissolution of civil society organisations outside any due legal process.'***

[43] African Commission on Human and People's Rights, 2026, Resolution on Access to Information and the Right to a Healthy Environment - ACHPR/Res.657(LXXXVI).

Among several demands, it called on states to 'refrain from any form of abuse of judicial process imposed on human and peoples' rights defenders, including by non-State actors'.[44]

In combatting SLAPP lawsuits, lawyers must, therefore, be innovative in their use of international rights protections, especially where there is a significant lacuna in the law with regards to anti-SLAPP legislation within a country. Some legal progress is being made towards combatting SLAPPs in Africa. For example, as has been noted, in 2022 the Constitutional Court in South Africa recognised SLAPPs 'as an abuse of process' noting that SLAPPs may be raised as a provisional defence.[45] Despite this, there is clearly a pressing need for the development of national anti-SLAPP legislation in African legal jurisdictions because as legal scholars note, 'anti-SLAPP laws act as a filter for legal proceedings by restraining abusive cases'.[46]



[44] African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 2026, Resolution on the Situation of Human and Peoples' Rights Defenders in Africa ACHPR/Res.663(LXXXVII) 2026, https://achpr.au.int/en/adopted-resolutions/663-achprres663lxxxvii-2026.

[45] Centre for Environmental Rights. 2022. South African Constitutional Court provides new protection for activists against SLAPP suits. 15 Nov. https://cer.org.za/news/south-african-constitutional-court-provides-new-protection-for-activists-against-slapp-suits. Accessed 21 May 2026.

[46] Emmamally, Z., 2022, 'Slapping Down SLAPP Suits in South Africa: The Need for Legislative Protection and Civil Society Action', South African Law Journal, 139(1), p. 18.

3.5 Model Anti-SLAPP Law for Africa

As an essential starting point the African Union needs to develop and fully implement a dedicated and binding anti-SLAPP protocol for all members states. This should be accompanied by country-specific anti-SLAPP laws. While being fully cognizant that bespoke and innovative anti-SLAPP legislation is required in all African jurisdictions because there is no one-size-fits-all solution, a draft model anti-SLAPP statute for South Africa has recently been drawn up that includes four essential features which are universally applicable:[47]

1. Definition of SLAPP suits

The ability for judges to recognise and act against SLAPP suits is essential, thus the Model law describes them as unfounded legal proceedings which are threatened or brought against persons with the chief goal of discouraging, silencing, harassing, detracting, under resourcing, and disciplining individuals or a collective of individuals from participating openly in matters of public interest. These matters could include activities such as protest actions, campaigns building, networking publicly, criticism, academic research, journalism, or whistleblowing.

2. Test for Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation

The Anti-SLAPP Model Law also provides a structured test to determine whether a lawsuit qualifies as a Strategic Lawsuit Against Public Participation. This test ensures that courts can quickly identify and dismiss SLAPP cases before they impose undue burdens on defendants.

3. Early Dismissal Mechanism

It provides a legal process for defendants to request the swift dismissal of SLAPP suits, aimed to reduce the financial and emotional strain on those targeted.

4. Protective Measures

The law includes provisions to safeguard defendants from retaliatory actions, such as awarding costs and damages to those wrongfully sued (Courts could automatically award the full costs of participating in a suit to a defendant upon dismissal), thereby deterring potential SLAPP filers.

Scholars argue strongly in support of model laws because of the wider regional impact they can have on shaping 'judicial imagination to influence future decisions.'[48]

[47] Bruce, L., 2024, 'Model law for protection against SLAPP suits', Centre for Applied Legal Studies- University of the Witwatersrand, 10 June, *https://www.wits.ac.za/news/sources/cals-news/2024/model-law-for-protection-against-slapp-suits.html*.

[48] Mafusire, B., 2026, 'Civil Society as a Jurisprudential Actor: Contesting SLAPPS in Business and Human Rights Litigation in Southern Africa', American Journal of International Law Unbound, 125, p. 157.

3.6 Recommendations

SLAPPs are increasingly being employed by powerful entities to limit free speech and discourage public participation. These legal actions target organisations, activists, journalists and legal professionals indiscriminately, saddling them with legal costs and threatening them into silence. For the effective development, adoption and implementation of anti-SLAPP legislation in African jurisdictions the following recommendations are made:

1. PUBLICISE SLAPP SUITS

To prevent issues which are of public interest being turned into private court cases, civil society organisations, the media and activists must work tirelessly to transform SLAPP suits into public issues via social mobilisation, media campaigns and public education (including judicial awareness).





2. PUBLICISE HIDDEN SLAPP SUITS

Civil society organisations and the media must recognise that many attempts to intimidate and silence do not reach the courts (legal threats communicated through confidential letters and correspondence from lawyers etc). Such threats against activists and civil society organisations must also be systematically publicised. In addition, online smear and disinformation campaigns designed to encourage self-censorship must be vigorously publicised.

3. MOBILISE SHAME

When facing SLAPP suits, stakeholders should work to 'mobilise shame.' Exposing unjust behaviour to public scrutiny can be a powerful mechanism to counter the vast resources (power asymmetry) that corporations or governments can commit to SLAPP suits. While reputational damage matters to differing degrees among corporations and governments, it is nonetheless a weakness that can be exposed.[49] This particular issue speaks to both government legitimacy and the social license of corporations to operate.

A critical part of this process is to collect and present compelling evidence to show truth in statements and to demonstrate that an issue of serious public concern is present. This helps to ensure that the government and/or private companies/individuals who are initiating SLAPP suits are subject to scrutiny and held accountable for their actions or activities.



[49] Emmamally, Z., 2022, 'Slapping Down SLAPP Suits in South Africa: The Need for Legislative Protection and Civil Society Action', South African Law Journal, 139(1), p. 29.



4. FILE MOTIONS OF DISMISSAL

In the absence of specific anti-SLAPP laws, filing a motion to dismiss based on a lack of merit to a case, or filing counterclaims for harassment or restriction of civic participatory rights, can be a useful means by which to fight back against SLAPP suits. Doing so, shifts the focus back to the SLAPP plaintiff's motives and might expose the intimidatory tactics being employed by them.

5. FORM ANTI-SLAPP COALITIONS

National and regional anti-SLAPP coalitions should be formed to share information and best practice. Such forums and coalitions could facilitate specific anti-SLAPP campaigns. Alliance building between the media and human and environmental rights defenders is particularly critical.





6. UNDERTAKE LEGISLATIVE ADVOCACY

At national level, relevant civil society organisations and the media should undertake ongoing legislative advocacy to advance the promulgation of specific legal protections. This lobbying should not see the passing of anti-SLAPP legislation as the end goal but should continue so that anti-SLAPP legislation is effectively implemented. At the international level, the promotion of a binding anti-SLAPP directive from the AU should be a priority area of legislative advocacy. In addition, legislative advocacy to advance the promulgation of anti-SLAPP laws should include regional structures such as the SADC, EAC and ECOWAS.

7. LOCATE THE FIGHT AGAINST SLAPP WITHIN WIDER HUMAN RIGHTS STRUGGLES

The place of anti-SLAPP legislation should be seen within the wider struggle for democracy in Africa, including the critical question of judicial independence. Therefore, the challenge posed by SLAPP suits and other forms of judicial harassment cannot simply be reduced to a question of legal reform, because questions of political will are paramount because SLAPPs are 'part of the broader struggles for democratic accountability and economic justice.'[50] As analysts note, 'while we can outline the issues and shed light on the misuse of lawfare, fully resolving these deep-seated problems requires long term political commitment as well as accountability.'[51] What this means is that pushing back against SLAPP suits in Africa should be located within wider pro-democracy and rights movements.



[50] Mafusire, B., 2026, 'Civil Society as a Jurisprudential Actor: Contesting SLAPPS in Business and Human Rights Litigation in Southern Africa,' American Journal of International Law Unbound, 125, p. 154.

[51] Chawaremera, M. & Kugara, S., 2025, 'A Critical Lens into Lawfare as a Tool to Undermine Democracy and Civil Liberties,' African Journal of Law and Justice Systems, 4(3), p. 22.

Directory of organizations potentially offering legal, financial, and emotional support for SLAPP victims.

ORGANISATION	CONTACT INFORMATION	LOCATION
Kenya Human Rights Commission (KHRC)	admin@khrc.or.ke	Kenya
Mzalendo Trust	info@mzalendo.com	Kenya
Twaweza	info@twaweza.org	Kenya
Katiba Institute	info@katibainstitute.org	Kenya
Justice Defenders	https://www.justice-defenders.org/	Kenya & Uganda
African Environmental Defenders	https://envirodefenders.africa/	Africa wide
Zimbabwe Environmental Lawyers Association	Info@zela.org	Zimbabwe
Zimbabwe Lawyers for Human Rights	info@zlhr.org	Zimbabwe
TI Zimbabwe - Advocacy and Legal Advice Center	https://www.tizim.org/advocacy-and-legal-advice-center/	Zimbabwe
Asina Loyiko	info@asinaloyiko.org.za https://asinaloyiko.org.za/	South Africa
Legal Resources Centre	Info@lrc.org.za	South Africa
Women's Legal Centre	https://wlce.co.za/	South Africa
Lawyers for Human Rights	https://www.lhr.org.za/	South Africa
Centre for Environmental Rights	Info@cer.org.za	South Africa
Southern African Litigation Centre	Enquiries@salc.org.za	Namibia | South Africa Zambia | Zimbabwe
Centre for Applied Legal Studies	duduzile.mlambo@wits.ac.za busisiwe.kamolane@wits.ac.za	South Africa
Centre for Child Law	centreforchildlaw@up.ac.za	South Africa
Global Climate Legal Defenders	abrownell@climatelegaldefense.org	Uganda
Southern Africa Human Rights Defenders Network	info@southerndefenders.africa	South Africa | Zambia Zimbabwe
Coalition Against SLAPPS in Africa	https://www.sarwatch.co.za/project/coalition-against-slaaps-in-africa/	Africa wide
SLAPP Back	https://slappback.org.za	South Africa
Platform for the Protection of Whistleblowers in Africa	https://www.pplaaf.org/	Africa wide
Action – Access to Information Namibia	https://action-namibia.org/	Namibia
Media Institute of Southern Africa	https://misa.org/	Southern Africa
Media Monitoring Africa	https://www.mediamonitoringafrica.org/	Africa wide
Campaign for Free Expression	https://freeexpression.org.za/	South Africa
Institute for Human Rights and Development in Africa	https://ihrda.org/en/	Africa wide

Links to workshops, webinars, and manuals on SLAPP defences.

TITLE	TYPE OF RESOURCE	LINK
The Importance of Freedom of Expression *(the Southern Africa Litigation Centre)*	Report	https://www.southernafricalitigationcentre.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Chapter-2.pdf
Campaign for Free Expression	Article	https://freeexpression.org.za/publications/
Legal Gaslighting – SLAPP-ing back at abusive process	Article	https://www.hsfkramer.com/notes/africa/2023-07/legal-gaslighting-slapp-ing-back-at-abusive-process
SARW/ CALS Anti- SLAPP Toolkit *(Wits University and Centre for Applied Legal Studies)*	Report	https://www.wits.ac.za/media/wits-university/faculties-and-schools/commerce-law-and-management/research-entities/cals/documents/programmes/environment/resources/Anti-Slapp-Toolkit-email-1-2.pdf
A Model Law for Protection from Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation *(Wits University)*	Legal Resource	https://www.wits.ac.za/media/wits-university/faculties-and-schools/commerce-law-and-management/research-entities/cals/documents/programmes/rule-of-law/resources/Draft%20for%20public%20comment-%2013Dec2023-%20Anti-SLAPP%20model%20law-%20Draft%202023.pdf https://www.wits.ac.za/media/wits-university/faculties-and-schools/commerce-law-and-management/research-entities/cals/documents/programmes/rule-of-law/resources/Anti-SLAPP%20Model%20Law%20for%20web%207%20June%202024.pdf
Toolkit on the right to Freedom of Association and Assembly *(Legal Resources Centre)*	Report	https://lrc.org.za/wp-content/uploads/Toolkit-booklet-210x148-Online-version.pdf
Asina Loyiko Website Launch	Webinar	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s1MGDFmdWvI
ICAR Annual Meeting - Protecting Protest: Fighting Back Against Corporate Bullies.	Webinar	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DkG8dcTsQ04
The effects of Strategic Lawsuits against Public participation (SLAPP) on freedom of expression and citizen participation in public dialogues in Kenya	Report	https://mzalendo.com/media/resources/Slapp.Report-2.pdf
SLAPPed: A Tool for Activists - Part 7: Resources	Report	https://www.acluohio.org/slapped-tool-activists/how-guard-against-slapp-suits/
Defending SLAPP Suits: Widening Circuit Split, Anti-SLAPP Motions, Cease and Desist Letters, Amended State Laws *(Strafford Webinars)*	Webinar	https://www.straffordpub.com/products/defending-slapp-suits-widening-circuit-split-anti-slapp-motions-cease-and-desist-letters-amended-state-laws-2021-03-31
SLAPPed but Not Silenced: Defending Human Rights in the Face of Legal Risks *(Vance Centre)*	Webinar	https://www.vancecenter.org/vance-centerhosts-bhr-webinar-on-role-of-lawyers-inslapps/
Anti-SLAPP Legal Guide	Blog	https://www.rcfp.org/anti-slapp-legal-guide/
Trainings on SLAPPs and Legal Defence	Webinar	https://cldc.org/resources/resource-library/?_sfm_resource-category=SLAPP
International Senior Lawyers Project	Anti-SLAPP Guide for Lawyers in Southern Africa	https://islp.org/7455-2/

Digital Security: Protecting communications and sensitive data.

Passwords: In today's digital world, safeguarding personal information online is more important than ever. This of course is amplified when activists are fighting to protect their or someone else's biodiversity, livelihood, cultural etc… One of the most effective ways to protect your data is by using strong, unique passwords for every account, and enabling two-factor authentication (2FA) wherever possible.

TIPS:

- Make sure that when creating a new password, that it is randomised, has capital letters, lower case letters and characters – and it is longer than ten digits.
- Choose reliable security methods, such as authentication apps, security keys, SMSes
- When saving passwords, make sure to save them in a key chain app or programme. Examples of these apps/programmes are NordPass, 1Password, Keeper, RoboForm

Phishing scams: It's crucial to be cautious of phishing scams — avoid clicking on any suspicious links or downloading attachments from unknown sources that do not look like it is from the company that it says it is from. i.e. john@party.xzy would not be a legitimate email from the company party.

VPNs: Using a virtual private network (VPN) when browsing on public Wi-Fi can prevent others from intercepting your data and when traveling to a country that may have unfavourable protection of information laws it may stop such governments from accessing your information.

TIPS:

- When travelling to a country that won't allow you to use a VPN, make sure it is already turned on before you arrive, as this might assist.
- Use a reputable VPN company like ExpressVPN, NordVPN, Cyberghost, Surfshark.

Emails: Emails can be intercepted when being sent via the web. To stop this, you can use an encryption scrambling systems that only the other person will be allowed to read the email once received. Or you can use free email system like protonmail or tuta. (If using Protonmail, don't forget your password as it won't allow you to reset this.)

Ad blocker, trackers and pop-ups: When engaging on the web multiple organizations / people / hackers want to try and obtain as much information from you as possible or they trying to have ads pop every five minutes to sell you something or click on a website that is harmful to your computer / laptop. Installing apps like Ghostery is a great way to stop Adverts, trackers or pop-ups.

Search Engine Tracking – Google, Bing, Yahoo etc. track your internet activity. There are several, search engines that do not. Good options which do not track activity are DuckDuckGo (*https://duckduckgo.com/*) or Brave Search (*https://search.brave.com/*).

Messaging Services – While no messaging services are completely secure, it is recommended not to use WhatsApp, rather use an alternative such as Signal which tracks far less user activity.

Cell/Mobile Phones – Turn off location settings for apps where possible.

Google Maps – Be cautious of using Google Maps.

There is a useful guide to activist security here - *https://activisthandbook.org/tools/security* while the following organisation can help activists and NGOs with digital security issues - *https://www.accessnow.org/help/*.

Remember, any information can still be accessible and you are never one hundred percent safe on the internet. Please make sure you take care when sharing sensitive information





an initiative by:

NATURAL JUSTICE

ENVIRONMENTAL LAWYERS COLLECTIVE FOR AFRICA