
A Traditional Knowledge Database for Mozambique

Proposing a first-of-its kind National Database



Acknowledgements

This report was developed by Ana Alecia Lyman for Natural Justice. This report forms part of a series of guidelines and tools to support local communities in Mozambique to explore, document and preserve their traditional knowledge and traditional cultural expressions. Local communities have rights with respect to their traditional knowledge, biological resources and climate adaptation measures, mostly grounded in customary laws, that need legal protection.

By assisting communities in deepening their understanding of the legal protections afforded to them, Natural Justice hopes that communities will benefit and defend their traditional knowledge against misappropriation or other threats.

This report was funded under the African Activists for Climate Justice programme.

Please view the other reports in this series:

1. **Protecting Traditional Knowledge in Mozambique: Current legal and institutional frameworks**
2. **Protecting Our Heritage: A Community Guide to Safeguarding Traditional Knowledge in Mozambique**
3. **A Traditional Knowledge Database for Mozambique**

Developed by: Ana Alecia Lyman

Published: February 2025

Creative Commons License: *This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution on-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Recipients are encouraged to use it freely for not-for-profit purposes only. Please credit the authors. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>*

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	
Executive Summary	5
1. Legal and Contextual Analysis	5
1.1. Current Legal Framework	5
1.2. Main Regulatory Gaps	7
Regulation of digital documentation	7
Fragmentation, data loss and missed opportunities	7
Community rights	8
1.3. Opportunities	8
2. Database Conceptualization	9
2.1. Purpose and Scope	9
2.2. Core Objectives	9
2.3. Scope Parameters	10
Geographic Scope	11
Knowledge Types	11
Community Engagement	11
Temporal Scope	12
Technical Capacities	12
Limitations and Exclusions	12
2.4. Core Features and Access Controls	13
Multi-Tiered Access System	13
Community Representative Access Level	13
Researcher Access Level	14
Public Access Level	15
2.5. Security and Technical Features	15
2.6. Implementation Safeguards	16
3. Interoperability Framework	16
3.1. Unique opportunities	16
3.1. Primary Integration: BioNoMo Database	18
3.2. Secondary Integrations	18
National Scientific Research Repository (RECIMO)	19
SANBio Regional Networks	20
NIKMAS System	20

3.3. Technical Implementation	20
3.4. Data Protection Safeguards	21
3.5. Future Expansion	21
4. Community-Centric Data Management	22
4.1 Consent and Permissions Framework	22
4.2 Dispute Resolution	23
Example Scenarios and Resolution Processes	24
5. Database Structure and Content	26
5.1. Core Data Fields	26
5.2. Metadata Framework	27
5.3. Data Collection Challenges & Solutions	28
Cultural and Trust-Building Challenges	28
Practical Collection Challenges	28
5.4. Alignment with International Standards	29
Data Management Standards	29
Metadata Standards Integration	30
Quality Assurance Frameworks	30
Practical Implementation	30
6. Implementation proposal	31
6.1. Proposed Timeline	31
6.2. Resource Requirements	32

Executive Summary

Mozambique's rich traditional knowledge of biodiversity represents an invaluable cultural and scientific resource that is increasingly at risk of being lost or misappropriated. This concept note proposes the development of a **first-of-its-kind national database that will protect and preserve this knowledge while creating regulated pathways for its ethical use in research and development.**

By combining robust digital infrastructure with community-centered governance, the database can safeguard traditional knowledge rights while facilitating authorized knowledge sharing that generates tangible benefits for knowledge-holding communities. This urgent initiative addresses the critical need to bridge the growing gap between traditional knowledge systems and modern scientific research while ensuring that communities maintain control over their cultural heritage.

1. Legal and Contextual Analysis

1.1. Current Legal Framework

The legal foundation for traditional knowledge protection in Mozambique rests on several key instruments that together provide a framework for safeguarding community rights and regulating access to traditional knowledge:

Constitutional Protections

- Article 98 recognizes the right of local communities to use and benefit from natural resources
- Article 117 specifically protects traditional medicine practices and cultural heritage
- Article 255 establishes the state's duty to protect traditional knowledge and promote its documentation
- Articles 85-88 protect cultural heritage and traditional practices as fundamental rights

2007 Regulation on Access and Benefit-sharing Related to the Utilization of Genetic Resources and Associated Traditional Knowledge (Decree 19/2007)

Key Provisions:

- Establishes mandatory prior informed consent from communities for utilization of traditional knowledge related to genetic resources
- Requires benefit-sharing agreements for traditional knowledge use
- Creates mechanisms for documenting community protocols
- Sets procedures for accessing biological resources and associated knowledge
- Establishes the National Authority for Access and Benefit Sharing

Complementary to Decree 19/2007, Mozambique ratified the Nagoya Protocol in 2015. As a party to the Nagoya Protocol, Mozambique's commitments include:

- Development of national checkpoints for monitoring traditional knowledge use
- Creation of mechanisms for community consent processes
- Establishment of fair benefit-sharing frameworks
- Protection against misappropriation of traditional knowledge
- Requirements for disclosure in patent applications

SPECIAL NOTE: Beginning in 2021, the National Directorate of the Environment (DINAB) has been leading a multi-sectorial collaborative revision process of Decree 19/2007 to better align the regulation with the Nagoya Protocol and international best practices. The revised regulation (still in process as of February 2025) will also address key implementation challenges such as institutional capacity for enforcement, lack of clear procedures for digital documentation, need for improved mechanisms for benefit distribution, and gaps in monitoring and compliance systems. The new regulation is expected to be finalized and approved during 2025.

Intellectual Property Framework

Relevant Laws:

- Industrial Property Code (Decree 47/2015)
 - Provides protection for geographical indications
 - Requires disclosure of origin for traditional knowledge in patent applications
 - Establishes mechanisms for challenging bio-piracy
- Copyright and Related Rights Law (Law 4/2001)
 - Protects expressions of folklore and traditional cultural expressions
 - Establishes community rights over traditional cultural expressions
 - Provides mechanisms for protecting oral traditions

Key Regional Frameworks

- **African Regional Intellectual Property Organization (ARIPO) Protocols**
Mozambique's membership in ARIPO provides regional protection mechanisms for traditional knowledge and enables cross-border collaboration with neighboring countries, critical for protecting shared traditional knowledge in border regions such as the Zambezi Valley and along the South Africa-Mozambique traditional medicine corridor.
- **Swakopmund Protocol on Traditional Knowledge and Expressions of Folklore**
This protocol, which Mozambique has ratified, provides specific protections for traditional knowledge holders and establishes clear procedures for obtaining prior informed consent, particularly relevant for Mozambique's diverse ethnic communities and their distinct knowledge systems about medicinal plants and agricultural practices.

- **SADC Protocol on Science, Technology and Innovation**
Within the Southern African Development Community framework, this protocol supports regional scientific collaboration while protecting traditional knowledge, enabling Mozambique to participate in regional research initiatives while maintaining safeguards for community intellectual property rights, especially in shared ecosystems like the Great Limpopo Transfrontier Conservation Area.

1.2. Main Regulatory Gaps

The current legal landscape in Mozambique presents both significant gaps and promising opportunities for the development of a traditional knowledge database. While existing frameworks provide foundational protection for traditional knowledge, they were largely developed before the digital age, creating several critical gaps that need to be addressed.

Regulation of digital documentation

A primary gap exists in the regulation of digital documentation of traditional knowledge. Current laws, including the 2007 Access and Benefit-sharing Regulation, do not specifically address the complexities of storing and managing traditional knowledge in digital formats. This creates uncertainty around data ownership, digital rights management, and the application of traditional protection mechanisms in a digital context.

Fragmentation, data loss and missed opportunities

A particularly pressing challenge is the current fragmentation and potential loss of valuable research data. Decades of research projects investigating Mozambican traditional knowledge on biodiversity remain scattered across various institutions, publications, and individual researchers' archives. Without a centralized, functional data collection system, these valuable findings are often difficult to locate and access. This fragmentation creates a significant barrier to scientific progress, as new research initiatives cannot effectively build upon previous discoveries. The private sector, which might otherwise invest in bio-innovation and sustainable product development, faces similar obstacles in accessing and utilizing this knowledge base, even when proper benefit-sharing mechanisms are in place.

This fragmentation has several negative consequences:

- Duplication of research efforts and inefficient use of limited research resources
- Missed opportunities for cross-pollination of ideas and interdisciplinary collaboration
- Reduced potential for innovation in both academic and commercial applications
- Limited ability to identify patterns or trends across different studies and regions
- Risk of permanent loss of valuable historical research data

Community rights

The current legal frameworks in Mozambique, while recognizing community rights over traditional knowledge in principle, face significant implementation challenges on the ground. Although the Constitution and ABS regulations acknowledge communities as rightful knowledge holders, there remains a critical gap between legal recognition and practical enforcement of these rights. The absence of specific, detailed procedures for establishing legitimate community representation has led to inconsistent practices across different regions and projects. This creates uncertainty about who can speak on behalf of communities in matters of traditional knowledge and how collective decisions should be documented and validated.

1.3. Opportunities

The challenges presented by these gaps also present unique opportunities. The development of the proposed database can establish important precedents for how digital repositories of traditional knowledge should be structured and governed. By carefully designing protocols that respect both legal requirements and community rights, the database can serve as a model for future initiatives and potentially inform the development of more comprehensive legislation.

Several specific opportunities emerge from this context:

1. **Legal Innovation:** The database can pioneer new approaches to implementing Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) in digital environments. By developing robust digital consent protocols, we can establish best practices that could influence future legislative frameworks.
2. **Community Empowerment:** The current legal framework's emphasis on community rights provides a strong foundation for developing community-controlled access protocols. This allows us to design systems that give communities unprecedented control over their traditional knowledge in digital formats.
3. **Research Continuity:** The database can serve as a central repository for past and ongoing research, enabling researchers to build upon existing knowledge rather than starting from scratch. This will accelerate innovation and reduce redundancy in research efforts.
4. **Private Sector Engagement:** A well-organized database can facilitate responsible private sector access to traditional knowledge, promoting ethical bio-innovation while ensuring proper benefit-sharing with knowledge-holding communities.

5. **Regulatory Alignment:** The database can bridge existing gaps between international commitments (such as the Nagoya Protocol and the new World Intellectual Property Organization treaty on intellectual property, genetic resources, and associated traditional knowledge) and national implementation by creating practical mechanisms for knowledge protection, benefit sharing and due diligence procedures related to patent applications.
6. **Documentation Standards:** There is an opportunity to establish standardized documentation protocols that respect both scientific rigor and traditional knowledge systems, addressing a current gap in how traditional knowledge is recorded and validated.

By addressing these gaps while building on existing legal protections, the database can strengthen the overall framework for traditional knowledge protection in Mozambique. The initiative's timing is particularly opportune, as increasing global attention to traditional knowledge rights creates a supportive environment for innovative approaches to digital knowledge management.

2. Database Conceptualization

2.1. Purpose and Scope

The Traditional Knowledge Database represents a pioneering initiative to create a comprehensive, secure, and community-centered digital repository that serves multiple interconnected purposes while respecting the cultural and spiritual dimensions of traditional knowledge in Mozambique.

Primary Purpose: The database's fundamental purpose is to document and protect traditional knowledge related to Mozambique's rich biodiversity. This documentation goes beyond simply cataloguing of species and their uses; it aims to serve as a living archive that preserves not just the knowledge itself, but the context in which it exists and evolves.

2.2. Core Objectives

A. Knowledge Preservation

- Documentation of traditional practices, uses, and applications of biodiversity resources
- Recording of associated cultural practices and spiritual significance
- Preservation of indigenous taxonomies and classification systems
- Capture of seasonal and temporal aspects of traditional knowledge
- Documentation of traditional resource management practices

B. Cultural Context Protection

- Integration of cultural protocols and customs associated with knowledge use
- Preservation of indigenous languages and terminologies
- Recording of oral traditions and stories related to biodiversity
- Documentation of spiritual and ceremonial aspects of plant use
- Preservation of intergenerational knowledge transmission methods

C. Controlled Knowledge Sharing

- Implementation of tiered access systems based on sensitivity of information
- Creation of clear protocols for knowledge access and use
- Development of mechanisms for community approval of knowledge sharing
- Establishment of tracking systems for knowledge utilization
- Facilitation of ethical research partnerships

D. Support for Benefit-Sharing

- Creation of transparent mechanisms for recording knowledge ownership
- Development of systems to track knowledge utilization
- Support for community-led benefit-sharing negotiations
- Documentation of existing benefit-sharing agreements (in alignment with national ABS systems)

E. Cultural sensitivity and respect

Cultural sensitivity stands as a fundamental principle that permeates the database's development and operation, not merely as an additional feature but as the philosophical foundation of the initiative. This principle demands respect for sacred or sensitive knowledge, requiring that all database processes align with traditional protocols for knowledge transmission. The database's operations should reflect local cultural values, acknowledging both the spiritual dimensions of traditional knowledge and the cultural contexts in which it exists. This includes honoring traditional knowledge hierarchies and respecting community decisions about what knowledge can be documented and shared. By embedding cultural sensitivity at every level - from technical design to regular operations - the database should ensure that digital preservation strengthens rather than diminishes the cultural integrity of traditional knowledge systems.

2.3. Scope Parameters

The selected parameters are intentionally comprehensive while remaining flexible enough to accommodate community concerns. The design principles recognize that traditional knowledge is not static but rather a living, evolving body of wisdom that requires a dynamic and adaptable documentation system. The database's design should allow for regular updates and modifications as communities' needs evolve and

new types of knowledge emerge or require documentation.

The following parameters are meant to ensure comprehensive documentation, respect cultural boundaries and account for practical limitations.

Geographic Scope

- Coverage of all provinces of Mozambique
- Special attention to biodiversity hotspots
- Recognition of cross-border traditional territories
- Inclusion of migratory patterns and seasonal resource use

Justification: Mozambique's vast territory encompasses diverse ecosystems and cultural landscapes, each hosting unique traditional knowledge systems. The geographic scope prioritizes national coverage while recognizing that traditional knowledge can transcend modern political boundaries. This approach supports capture of the full spectrum of biodiversity-related knowledge while respecting traditional territorial concepts and seasonal resource management patterns.

Knowledge Types

- Traditional medicinal practices
- Agricultural knowledge and techniques
- Food preparation and preservation methods
- Natural resource management practices
- Cultural and spiritual uses of biodiversity
- Traditional conservation practices
- Seasonal and astronomical knowledge related to biodiversity

Justification: These categories represent the fundamental domains of traditional knowledge that are critical for both cultural preservation and sustainable development. They encompass the essential aspects of community interaction with biodiversity, from practical daily uses to spiritual significance, ensuring a holistic documentation approach that reflects the interconnected nature of traditional knowledge systems.

Community Engagement

- Direct involvement of traditional knowledge holders
- Participation of community elders and leaders
- Engagement with traditional healers
- Inclusion of women's specific knowledge
- Recognition of youth perspectives and concerns

Justification: Effective documentation of traditional knowledge requires input from all knowledge holders within a community. This inclusive approach ensures that different perspectives and types of knowledge are captured, recognizing that traditional

knowledge is often gender-specific, age-dependent, and hierarchically structured within communities.

Temporal Scope

- Documentation of current practices
- Recording of historical knowledge
- Tracking of knowledge evolution over time
- Documentation of seasonal and cyclical knowledge

Justification: Traditional knowledge is dynamic and evolving, shaped by both historical practices and contemporary adaptations. This temporal framework allows the database to capture both ancient wisdom and its modern evolution, providing insights into how communities adapt their knowledge to changing circumstances.

Technical Capacities

- Integration with existing scientific databases
- Compatibility with international standards
- Scalability for future expansion
- Capacity for multimedia documentation
- Support for multiple indigenous languages

Justification: While prioritizing traditional knowledge protection, the database must function within modern technical ecosystems to ensure utility and longevity. These functionalities enable effective knowledge preservation and sharing, and support compatibility with relevant scientific and academic systems.

Limitations and Exclusions

- Sacred or secret knowledge that communities choose not to share
- Commercially sensitive information
- Personal or family-specific traditions (unless explicitly approved)
- Information that could lead to resource exploitation
- Knowledge that communities explicitly request to exclude

Justification: These boundaries are essential for maintaining cultural integrity and community trust. They acknowledge that not all knowledge should be documented and that communities have the right to maintain exclusive control over certain aspects of their traditional knowledge. These limitations protect both community interests and sensitive cultural information from potential misuse.

2.4. Core Features and Access Controls

The database's architecture will be built around a practical system of features and controls designed to protect traditional knowledge while enabling authorized access and use. The system acknowledges the current digital literacy constraints in many traditional communities while ensuring their rights and interests remain protected.

Multi-Tiered Access System

The database implements a carefully structured access system that reflects both the sensitivity of traditional knowledge and the practical realities of knowledge management in Mozambique:

Community Representative Access Level

This highest tier of access is managed by authorized community representatives working through the Center for Ethnobotanical Research and Development (CIDE), including:

- Trained local facilitators who work directly with communities
- Designated community leaders or knowledge holders
- Local research institutions appointed by communities
- Community-based organizations with formal agreements

These representatives are responsible for:

- Documenting traditional knowledge through structured interviews and community consultations
- Securing and recording community approval for knowledge sharing
- Managing information sensitivity levels based on community guidance
- Facilitating community decisions about knowledge access
- Ensuring proper benefit-sharing arrangements
- Providing regular updates to communities about database usage
- Serving as liaisons between communities and database users

Examples of mechanisms supporting Community Governance:

1. **Local Knowledge Committees:** Communities can establish local committees comprising elders, healers, and other knowledge holders. Committees:
 - Meet quarterly to review access requests and usage reports
 - Provide guidance on sensitivity levels for different types of knowledge
 - Recommend modifications to access protocols based on community experiences
2. **Regional Advisory Councils:** Representatives from multiple communities form regional councils. These councils:
 - Establish regional guidelines for knowledge sharing
 - Review broader patterns of database usage
 - Recommend improvements to benefit-sharing mechanisms
 - Ensure cultural protocols are properly observed across the region
3. **Traditional Authority Integration:** Recognition of existing community hierarchies in knowledge management via:
 - Regular consultations with traditional leaders on access decisions
 - Integration of traditional decision-making processes in approval procedures
 - Alignment of database protocols with traditional governance systems
4. **Community Feedback Mechanisms** can include:
 - Regular community assemblies to discuss database operation
 - Structured channels for raising concerns about knowledge use
 - Community-led evaluation of benefit-sharing effectiveness
 - Direct communication lines to database administrators
 - Local language documentation of governance processes
5. **Practical Governance Tools** should include:
 - Simplified reporting formats for community review
 - Pictorial representations of database usage statistics
 - Mobile-based notification systems for urgent decisions
 - Regular face-to-face updates in community settings
 - Use of local languages in governance processes

Researcher Access Level

This intermediate tier is designed for verified researchers, academic institutions, and other authorized users who have obtained explicit approval through proper channels. This level includes:

- Access to detailed traditional knowledge as approved by community representatives
- Structured research protocols and agreements

- Mandatory documentation of research purposes and intended outcomes
- Time-limited access permissions
- Regular reporting requirements on knowledge utilization

Public Access Level

The base tier provides controlled access to non-sensitive information that has been explicitly approved for public viewing. This level can include:

- General information about biodiversity resources
- Basic traditional uses that are already widely known
- Cultural context approved for public sharing
- Educational materials
- Public awareness information

2.5. Security and Technical Features

Encryption Protocols

The database employs security measures to protect sensitive information:

- Secure storage with multiple encryption layers
- Regular security updates and vulnerability assessments
- Backup systems with equivalent security measures (to be further analyzed)
- Security management by the database administration team (to be further analyzed)

Geographical and Cultural Knowledge Categorization

A practical categorization system enables efficient organization and retrieval of information:

- Geo-referencing of traditional knowledge
- Cultural classification systems that reflect local understanding
- Cross-referencing capabilities across different knowledge systems
- Seasonal and temporal classification
- Ecosystem-based categorization

Audit Trail Functionality

Tracking systems monitor all database activities:

- Detailed logs of access and usage
- Recording of data modifications
- Documentation of knowledge applications
- Reports for community representatives
- Possible tracking of benefit-sharing arrangements, via integration with the MTA ABS digital management system

Digital Rights Management System

A rights management framework ensures proper control and attribution:

- Clear documentation of knowledge origins
- Management of usage permissions
- Tracking of knowledge sharing agreements
- Implementation of access controls
- Monitoring of compliance with usage terms

2.6. Implementation Safeguards

To ensure system effectiveness while respecting community contexts:

- In-person community consultations
- Training programs for community representatives
- Documentation in local languages
- Pictorial and audio recording options for knowledge documentation
- Simple, clear protocols for community approval processes
- Regular community feedback sessions
- Transparent benefit-sharing mechanisms

To summarize: the system must prioritize practical, culturally appropriate methods for protecting and managing traditional knowledge, working with trained community intermediaries and traditional consultation processes while maintaining digital security and control mechanisms.

NOTE: The technical requirements suggested above should be analyzed and adjusted through consultation with appropriate experts.

3. Interoperability Framework

3.1. Unique opportunities

The traditional knowledge database is designed to function not as an isolated system, but as an integral part of a broader knowledge ecosystem. Its interoperability framework enables structured data exchange with key databases while maintaining strict protocols for data protection and community rights. This interconnectedness presents major opportunities.

Research Enhancement and Innovation:

- Enables researchers to identify patterns and relationships between traditional and scientific knowledge
- Facilitates cross-disciplinary studies that combine ethnobotanical insights with modern scientific research
- Supports more efficient and comprehensive biodiversity conservation efforts
- Reduces duplication of research efforts and associated costs
- Creates opportunities for innovative bio-based product development that respects traditional knowledge rights

Policy Development and Implementation:

- Provides policymakers with comprehensive data to inform evidence-based decisions
- Supports more effective implementation of access and benefit-sharing regulations
- Enables better monitoring of traditional knowledge use and potential misappropriation
- Facilitates coordination between different government agencies and institutions
- Strengthens regional cooperation in traditional knowledge protection

Traditional Knowledge Protection:

- Creates a more robust system for tracking knowledge use and preventing unauthorized access
- Supports better enforcement of community rights across multiple platforms
- Enables early detection of potential intellectual property violations
- Facilitates proper attribution and benefit-sharing across different uses
- Strengthens the documentation of prior art for patent examinations

Institutional Collaboration:

- Promotes knowledge exchange between research institutions, government agencies, and communities
- Supports coordinated conservation efforts across different regions
- Enables more effective resource allocation for research and protection initiatives
- Facilitates standardized documentation and verification processes
- Creates opportunities for joint research and development projects

The framework's design should balance these broader benefits with rigorous protection of community rights and interests, ensuring that increased accessibility does not compromise traditional knowledge security or community control. Through considered integration with existing systems, the database can serve as a crucial bridge between traditional knowledge systems and modern scientific research while maintaining appropriate safeguards and access controls.

3.1. Primary Integration: BioNoMo Database

The central interoperability feature is a carefully designed integration with the BioNoMo database, Mozambique's primary biodiversity data repository. This integration serves as a bridge between traditional knowledge and scientific documentation of biological resources.

Key Integration Features:

- Standardized species identification codes that align with BioNoMo's taxonomic system
- Bi-directional linking between traditional knowledge records and scientific species data
- Automated cross-validation of geographical distribution data
- Shared metadata standards for location, seasonality, and ecological information

The integration enables:

1. Researchers accessing BioNoMo to discover the existence of associated traditional knowledge
2. Automatic notification of traditional knowledge records when scientific nomenclature is updated
3. Cross-referencing of traditional and scientific names for species
4. Geographic information system (GIS) data synchronization
5. Harmonized data collection protocols for new entries

Data Exchange Protocols:

- API-based secure data exchange
- Real-time validation of data integrity (considering network limitations)
- Automated synchronization of shared fields
- Error checking and reconciliation procedures
- Version control and change tracking

3.2. Secondary Integrations

While requiring further research to confirm opportunities and challenges for additional interoperability, the database is envisioned to support connectivity through strategic integrations with regional and national systems.

National Scientific Research Repository (RECIMO)

The database will establish direct links with the *Repositório Científico de Moçambique* (RECIMO), the national digital repository that manages, stores, preserves, and provides access to digital publications produced by higher education and national scientific research institutions. This integration focuses particularly on RECIMO's Scientific Publications subsystem.

Primary Integration Features:

- Automated linking to peer-reviewed research papers
- Connection to academic theses and dissertations
- Access to technical scientific reports
- Links to academic books and book chapters
- Integration with institutional research repositories

Key Benefits:

- Open access to scientific and academic publications through RECIMO's Creative Commons International license
- Free access to metadata and deposited documents
- Comprehensive tracking of research outputs related to traditional knowledge
- Integration with higher education institutional repositories
- Citation tracking and impact assessment possibilities

Practical Implementation:

- Bi-directional links between traditional knowledge records and related academic research
- Automatic notification system for new relevant publications
- Research output monitoring and analytics
- Community access to relevant academic findings
- Translation of key research findings for community benefit

This integration can permit key linkages between traditional knowledge documentation and the broader academic research ecosystem, while maintaining appropriate access controls and community protections.

Important note: RECIMO was launched by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Higher Education (MCTES) in 2024 and has limited content as of February 2025. Detailed information on the implementation status should be sought with the relevant ministry department.

Website: <https://comum.recimo.ac.mz/home>

Regulation: https://mctes.gov.mz/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/Regulamento_RECIMO-4.pdf

SANBio Regional Networks

- Connection to the Southern African Network for Biosciences
- Standardized protocols for sharing non-sensitive traditional knowledge data
- Regional species distribution mapping capabilities
- Collaborative research opportunity identification
- Cross-border traditional knowledge pattern recognition
- Alignment with regional benefit-sharing frameworks

NIKMAS System

A formalized partnership between CIDE and the Office of Indigenous Knowledge Systems (South African Ministry of Science and Innovation) could offer a valuable opportunity to learn from the South African experience and potentially adopt best practices appropriate for the Mozambican context.

Alignment with South Africa's National Indigenous Knowledge Management System could offer several opportunities:

- Adoption of proven data management standards
- Compatible documentation protocols
- Shared approaches to knowledge protection
- Regional knowledge pattern analysis capabilities
- Harmonized benefit-sharing tracking

3.3. Technical Implementation

The interoperability framework operates through:

Data Standards

- Use of internationally recognized metadata standards
- Common vocabularies and thesauri
- Standardized API protocols
- Unified data quality metrics
- Compatible security protocols

Exchange Mechanisms

- Secure API endpoints
- Encrypted data transmission
- Automated validation checks
- Error handling protocols
- Audit logging of all exchanges

Access Controls

- Role-based access management
- Multi-factor authentication
- IP restriction capabilities
- Time-limited access tokens
- Activity monitoring

3.4. Data Protection Safeguards

To ensure that interoperability doesn't compromise data security:

- All external systems receive only pre-approved data
- Sensitive information remains exclusively in the primary database
- Community approval requirements remain in force across all integrations
- Regular security audits of all connection points
- Ability to immediately suspend connections if necessary

3.5. Future Expansion

The framework enhances the value of the database and is designed to accommodate future integrations with:

- International traditional knowledge databases
- Global biodiversity data networks
- Regional research networks
- National agricultural databases
- Environmental monitoring systems

4. Community-Centric Data Management

The protection and management of traditional knowledge must prioritize community rights and interests while acknowledging the practical challenges of implementing digital systems in Mozambique. This framework establishes clear protocols that respect traditional decision-making processes while ensuring efficient data management.

4.1 Consent and Permissions Framework

The foundation of the database's management system is a culturally appropriate consent and permissions framework that respects traditional governance structures while meeting international standards for free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC).

Documentation and Consent Protocols:

- Initial community consultations conducted in local languages
- Recommended: Visual and audio materials explaining the database purpose and implications
- Face-to-face meetings with traditional leaders and knowledge holders
- Documentation of community discussions and decisions
- Written agreements in both Portuguese and local languages
- Video or audio recording of consent processes where culturally appropriate

The consent process addresses:

1. Specific knowledge elements to be documented
2. Permitted uses of the knowledge
3. Access restrictions and sensitivity levels
4. Benefit-sharing expectations (in alignment with national ABS regulations)
5. Duration of permissions
6. Conditions for withdrawal of consent

Implementation Mechanisms:

- Trained local facilitators conduct community consultations
- Community meetings to review and update permissions
- Clear documentation of decision-making processes
- Establishment of local point persons for ongoing communication
- Feedback sessions with communities as required

Ongoing community involvement is maintained through:

- Simplified progress reports in local languages
- Practical feedback mechanisms
- Community-led review processes
- Periodic assessment of benefit-sharing effectiveness

4.2 Dispute Resolution

A dispute resolution framework should prioritize local-level conflict resolution while providing clear escalation paths when needed. The system should integrate traditional conflict resolution mechanisms with formal procedures, ensuring culturally appropriate and effective dispute management.

Local-Level Resolution Mechanisms

Primary Resolution Path:

1. Initial discussion at community level with relevant knowledge holders
2. Involvement of local traditional authorities if initial discussion doesn't resolve the issue
3. Engagement of community representative committee
4. Documentation of process and outcomes in local language
5. Regular monitoring of implemented solutions

Integration with Traditional Structures:

- Recognition of traditional leadership hierarchy in dispute handling
- Use of customary conflict resolution practices
- Respect for local protocols in discussion procedures
- Integration of traditional reconciliation methods
- Documentation that respects oral traditions

Escalation Procedures

When local resolution is not achieved, issues can be escalated through:

1. Regional advisory council review
2. CIDE mediation process
3. Formal legal mechanisms (as last resort)

Example Scenarios and Resolution Processes

Scenario 1: Knowledge Sharing Dispute Situation

Community A discovers that knowledge they shared about a medicinal plant has been used by researchers beyond the agreed scope.

Resolution Process:

1. Community representatives document the specific concern
2. Local knowledge committee reviews the case
3. Meeting between community leaders and research institution
4. Agreement on corrective actions (e.g., research restriction, additional benefits)
5. Implementation of stricter monitoring protocols

Scenario 2: Inter-Community Knowledge Claim Situation

Two neighboring communities claim exclusive rights to traditional knowledge about a specific resource management practice.

Resolution Process:

1. Joint meeting of traditional authorities from both communities
2. Review of historical knowledge transmission patterns
3. Facilitated dialogue to reach shared understanding
4. Development of joint knowledge stewardship agreement
5. Documentation of shared protocols for future reference

Scenario 3: Benefit-Sharing Conflict Situation

Disagreement within a community about the distribution of benefits from knowledge commercialization.

Resolution Process:

1. Community assembly to discuss concerns
2. Traditional authority mediation
3. Development of transparent distribution criteria
4. Documentation of agreed framework
5. Regular review of implementation

Scenario 4: Access Permission Dispute Situation

Disagreement about whether sensitive cultural knowledge should be included in the database.

Resolution Process:

1. Consultation with knowledge holders and spiritual leaders
2. Review of traditional protocols for knowledge sharing
3. Community-wide discussion and consensus building
4. Clear documentation of decision and reasoning
5. Development of specific protection protocols if needed

Dispute Prevention and Monitoring

Preventive Measures:

- Regular community updates on database usage
- Clear documentation of all access agreements
- Periodic review of sharing protocols
- Training for community representatives
- Regular feedback sessions

Monitoring and Documentation:

- Tracking of common dispute patterns
- Documentation of resolution outcomes
- Regular review of effectiveness
- Updates to procedures based on experiences
- Community feedback on resolution processes

Support Resources

To facilitate effective dispute resolution:

- Trained local mediators
- Translation services
- Documentation templates
- Communication tools
- Legal support when necessary

This management framework and dispute resolution guidelines are meant to emphasize practical, culturally appropriate approaches while maintaining standards for data protection and community benefits. It aims to acknowledge the challenges inherent to implementation while ensuring that community interests remain priority.

5. Database Structure and Content

The proposed database architecture is designed to efficiently organize and protect traditional knowledge while ensuring accessibility and practical functionality within Mozambique's technical infrastructure constraints.

5.1. Core Data Fields

The database is structured around essential data categories that capture both traditional knowledge and its context:

Species Documentation

- Scientific names with direct BioNoMo database links
- Local names in multiple languages and dialects
- Taxonomic classification systems (both scientific and traditional)
- Physical descriptions and identifying characteristics
- Photographic documentation where permitted

Traditional Knowledge Elements

- Detailed documentation of uses and applications
- Preparation and processing methods
- Traditional dosage and application guidelines
- Safety considerations and contraindications
- Associated traditional practices and rituals
- Combinations with other species

Cultural Context

- Cultural significance and value
- Traditional stories and beliefs
- Ceremonial uses and restrictions
- Intergenerational knowledge transfer methods
- Associated traditional customs

Geographic Information

- Distribution and habitat information
- Collection locations and seasons
- Traditional management areas
- Environmental indicators
- Local ecosystem relationships

Community Information

- Knowledge-holding communities (with appropriate privacy protections)
- Traditional governance structures
- Community protocols for knowledge use
- Local expert contacts (with privacy safeguards)
- Historical knowledge lineage

Management Practices

- Traditional conservation methods
- Sustainable harvesting techniques
- Cultivation practices if applicable
- Resource management practices
- Environmental indicators

Temporal Data

- Seasonal availability
- Harvest timing
- Growth cycles
- Traditional calendars
- Historical changes in availability or use

5.2. Metadata Framework

The metadata structure ensures proper documentation of data origin, ownership, and usage rights:

Provenance Tracking

- Source documentation
- Collection methodology
- Validation processes
- Quality assurance measures
- Update history

Ownership Documentation

- Community attribution
- Knowledge holder recognition
- Access rights management
- Benefit-sharing arrangements
- Usage restrictions

Version Control

- Change tracking
- Update documentation
- Historical records
- Modification justification
- Quality control measures

5.3. Data Collection Challenges & Solutions

Cultural and Trust-Building Challenges

Challenge: Hesitancy to share sensitive or sacred knowledge

Solutions:

- Building long-term relationships before data collection begins
- Clear explanation of protection mechanisms in local languages
- Respect for decisions not to share certain knowledge
- Recognition of knowledge hierarchies within communities
- Option to withdraw shared information at any time

Challenge: Fear of knowledge misappropriation

Solutions:

- Transparent documentation of all knowledge uses
- Regular updates on database access and usage
- Clear benefit-sharing mechanisms established upfront
- Community control over access permissions
- Detailed tracking of all external knowledge applications

Practical Collection Challenges

Challenge: Language and terminology barriers

Solutions:

- Local language documentation alongside Portuguese
- Visual and audio recording options
- Development of multilingual botanical glossaries
- Work with local translators and cultural interpreters
- Preservation of original terms alongside translations

Challenge: Geographic accessibility

Solutions:

- Mobile data collection tools for remote areas
- Flexible scheduling around community availability
- Local team members assigned to specific regions
- Seasonal planning for better access
- Alternative communication channels when needed

Challenge: Knowledge verification

Solutions:

- Cross-referencing between knowledge holders
- Integration of traditional validation methods
- Documentation of knowledge transmission lineage
- Clear marking of conflicting information
- Regular review and updating processes

5.4. Alignment with International Standards

The database's structure should align with key international frameworks while maintaining local relevance. The following can be considered:

Data Management Standards

- CARE Principles for Indigenous Data Governance <https://www.gida-global.org/care>
 - Collective benefit
 - Authority to control
 - Responsibility
 - Ethics
- FAIR Data Principles <https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/>
 - Findable: Clear metadata and unique identifiers
 - Accessible: Clear access protocols while protecting sensitivity
 - Interoperable: Standardized formats and vocabularies
 - Reusable: Clear usage rights and provenance

Metadata Standards Integration

- Dublin Core Metadata Initiative (DCMI) compliance <https://www.dublincore.org/specifications/dublin-core/>
- Darwin Core biodiversity data standards <https://dwc.tdwg.org/>
- Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) compatible fields (especially useful for protection against unethical patents through classification as per international patent classification systems) <http://www.tkdil.res.in/>
- World Intellectual Property Organization TK documentation standards https://www.wipo.int/tk/en/resources/db_registry.html
- Convention on Biological Diversity documentation guidelines <https://www.cbd.int/guidelines/>

Quality Assurance Frameworks

- ISO/IEC 27001 information security standards <https://www.iso.org/isoiec-27001-information-security.html>
- UNESCO cultural heritage documentation guidelines <https://ich.unesco.org/en/procedure-of-inscription-00809>
- WHO traditional medicine documentation standards <https://www.who.int/teams/integrated-health-services/traditional-complementary-and-integrative-medicine>
- FAO traditional agricultural knowledge guidelines <https://www.fao.org/indigenous-peoples/our-pillars/fpic>

Practical Implementation

Implementation must ensure that the database meets international best practices, while remaining responsive to community needs and cultural contexts. Regular review and updating of protocols can ensure continued alignment with both international standards and local requirements.

The database design process and structure should consider:

1. Flexible Data Entry

- Required fields align with international standards
- Optional fields capture unique local contexts
- Multimedia documentation options
- Multiple language support
- Traditional classification systems

2. Quality Control

- Community validation processes
- Scientific verification where appropriate
- Regular data quality assessments
- Clear documentation of verification status

- Update and correction procedures

3. Knowledge Protection

- Traditional knowledge labels
- Cultural sensitivity indicators
- Access restriction markers
- Usage limitation flags
- Privacy protection measures

4. Community Engagement in Standards

- Community input on data categories
- Local knowledge classification systems
- Traditional validation methods
- Cultural protocols for documentation
- Community-defined sensitivity levels

6. Implementation proposal

6.1. Proposed Timeline

Timeline in months

	1-2	3-5	6-12	13-15	16-20
Phase 1: Technical Specification					
Phase 2: Stakeholder consultation					
Phase 3: Pilot Implementation					
Phase 4: Review and Adjustment					
Phase 5: Full Deployment					

Phase 1: Technical Specification (2 months)

- Database architecture design
- Security protocol development
- Interface design and testing
- Integration framework development
- Documentation system creation

Phase 2: Stakeholder consultation (3 months)

- Protocol review with community stakeholder input
- Identification of pilot communities / groups
- Establishment of communication channels

Phase 3: Pilot Implementation (6 months)

- Initial deployment in selected communities
- Data collection and entry
- System testing and refinement
- User training and support
- Initial integration testing

Phase 4: Review and Adjustment (3 months)

- System performance evaluation
- Community feedback collection
- Technical refinements
- Protocol adjustments
- Documentation updates

Phase 5: Full Deployment (6 months)

- Systematic rollout across regions
- Expanded data collection
- Training program implementation
- Integration activation
- Monitoring system deployment

6.2. Resource Requirements

Technical Infrastructure

- Secure servers and storage systems
- Backup infrastructure
- Network connectivity solutions
- Mobile data collection devices
- Security systems and software

Human Resources

- Database development team
 - Senior developer
 - Database architect
 - Security specialist
 - Interface designer
 - Quality assurance specialist

Community Engagement Team

- Local facilitators
- Traditional knowledge experts
- Community liaison officers
- Training coordinators

Legal Support

- Intellectual property specialists
- ABS legal experts
- Contract lawyers
- Policy advisors
- Compliance officers

Special note:

The Institute for Industrial Property should be a key partner for legal considerations and institutional alignment. The IPI is responsible for domestic implementation of the May 2024 WIPO Treaty on Intellectual Property, Genetic Resources and Associated Traditional Knowledge (GRATK). Note that no new national regulation in alignment with this treaty has yet been developed, as of February 2025.

Training and Capacity Building

- Training materials development
- Workshop facilitators
- Technical support staff
- Documentation specialists
- Community trainers

Operational Support (overseen by CIDE)

- Project management team
- Administrative support
- Financial management
- Logistics coordination
- Monitoring and evaluation specialists

Budget Considerations

- Digital infrastructure costs (servers, backups, etc)

- Staffing expenses
- Training program costs
- Community consultation expenses
- Ongoing maintenance costs
- Security system investments
- Legal and compliance costs

The success of this initiative depends on securing adequate resources across all above categories while maintaining flexibility to address emerging needs and challenges during implementation.